

Fast and simple tool for the quantification of biofilm-embedded cells sub-populations from fluorescent microscopic images

Kazan Federal University, 420008, Kremlevskaya 18, Kazan, Russia

Abstract

© 2018 Bogachev et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. Fluorescent staining is a common tool for both quantitative and qualitative assessment of pro- and eukaryotic cells sub-population fractions by using microscopy and flow cytometry. However, direct cell counting by flow cytometry is often limited, for example when working with cells rigidly adhered either to each other or to external surfaces like bacterial biofilms or adherent cell lines and tissue samples. An alternative approach is provided by using fluorescent microscopy and confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM), which enables the evaluation of fractions of cells subpopulations in a given sample. For the quantitative assessment of cell fractions in microphotographs, we suggest a simple two-step algorithm that combines single cells selection and the statistical analysis. To facilitate the first step, we suggest a simple procedure that supports finding the balance between the detection threshold and the typical size of single cells based on objective cell size distribution analysis. Based on a series of experimental measurements performed on bacterial and eukaryotic cells under various conditions, we show explicitly that the suggested approach effectively accounts for the fractions of different cell sub-populations (like the live/dead staining in our samples) in all studied cases that are in good agreement with manual cell counting on microphotographs and flow cytometry data. This algorithm is implemented as a simple software tool that includes an intuitive and user-friendly graphical interface for the initial adjustment of algorithm parameters to the microphotographs analysis as well as for the sequential analysis of homogeneous series of similar microscopic images without further user intervention. The software tool entitled BioFilmAnalyzer is freely available online at <https://bitbucket.org/rogex/biofilmanalyzer/downloads/>.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0193267>

References

- [1] Tawakoli PN, Al-Ahmad A, Hoth-Hannig W, Hannig M, Hannig C. Comparison of different live/dead stainings for detection and quantification of adherent microorganisms in the initial oral biofilm. *Clinical Oral Investigations*. 2013; 17(3):841–50. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-012-0792-3> WOS:000316749300019. PMID: 22821430
- [2] McMullan BJ, Desmarini D, Djordjevic JT, Chen SCA, Roper M, Sorrell TC. Rapid Microscopy and Use of Vital Dyes: Potential to Determine Viability of *Cryptococcus neoformans* in the Clinical Laboratory. *Plos One*. 2015; 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0117186> WOS:000348821400028. PMID: 25625210

- [3] Atale N, Gupta S, Yadav UCS, Rani V. Cell-death assessment by fluorescent and nonfluorescent cyto-solic and nuclear staining techniques. *Journal of Microscopy*. 2014; 255(1):7–19. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jmi.12133> WOS:000339710500002. PMID: 24831993
- [4] Netuschil L, Auschill TM, Sculean A, Arweiler NB. Confusion over live/dead stainings for the detection of vital microorganisms in oral biofilms—which stain is suitable? *Bmc Oral Health*. 2014; 14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6831-14-2> WOS:000330071900001. PMID: 24410850
- [5] Gerstner AOH, Mittag A, Laffers W, Dahnert I, Lenz D, Bootz F, et al. Comparison of immunophenotyping by slide-based cytometry and by flow cytometry. *Journal of Immunological Methods*. 2006; 311(1–2):130–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jim.2006.01.012> WOS:000237527200013. PMID: 16527301
- [6] Mital J, Schwarz J, Taatjes DJ, Ward GE. Laser scanning cytometer-based assays for measuring host cell attachment and invasion by the human pathogen *Toxoplasma gondii*. *Cytometry Part A*. 2006; 69A (1):13–9. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cyto.a.20202> WOS:000234383700002. PMID: 16342112
- [7] Adan A, Alizada G, Kiraz Y, Baran Y, Nalbant A. Flow cytometry: basic principles and applications. *Critical Reviews in Biotechnology*. 2017; 37(2):163–76. <https://doi.org/10.3109/07388551.2015.1128876> WOS:000392639500003. PMID: 26767547
- [8] van der Pol E, Hoekstra AG, Sturk A, Otto C, van Leeuwen TG, Nieuwland R. Optical and non-optical methods for detection and characterization of microparticles and exosomes. *Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis*. 2010; 8(12):2596–607. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1538-7836.2010.04074.x> WOS:000285110700003. PMID: 20880256
- [9] Heydorn A, Nielsen AT, Hentzer M, Sternberg C, Givskov M, Ersboll BK, et al. Quantification of biofilm structures by the novel computer program COMSTAT. *Microbiology-Sgm*. 2000; 146:2395–407. WOS:000089985400007.
- [10] Beyenal H, Donovan C, Lewandowski Z, Harkin G. Three-dimensional biofilm structure quantification. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*. 2004; 59(3):395–413. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2004.08.003> WOS:000224843300009. PMID: 15488282
- [11] Merritt JH, Kadouri DE, O'Toole GA. Growing and analyzing static biofilms. *Curr Protoc Microbiol*. 2005; Chapter 1:Unit 1B. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9780471729259.mc01b01s00> PMID: 18770545; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4568995.
- [12] Ji L, Piper J, Tang JY. EROSION AND DILATION OF BINARY IMAGES BY ARBITRARY STRUCTURING ELEMENTS USING INTERVAL CODING. *Pattern Recognition Letters*. 1989; 9(3):201–9. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-8655\(89\)90055-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-8655(89)90055-x) WOS:A1989U514000008.
- [13] Adams R, Bischof L. SEEDED REGION GROWING. *Ieee Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*. 1994; 16(6):641–7. <https://doi.org/10.1109/34.295913> WOS: A1994NR97200008.
- [14] Nattkemper TW, Twellmann T, Ritter H, Schubert W. Human vs. machine: evaluation of fluorescence micrographs. *Computers in Biology and Medicine*. 2003; 33(1):31–43. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0010-4825\(02\)00060-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0010-4825(02)00060-4) WOS:000180493700003. PMID: 12485628
- [15] Tchoukalova YD, Harteneck DA, Karwoski RA, Tarara J, Jensen MD. A quick, reliable, and automated method for fat cell sizing. *Journal of Lipid Research*. 2003; 44(9):1795–801. <https://doi.org/10.1194/jlr.D300001-JLR200> WOS:000185522300024. PMID: 12777477
- [16] Daims H, Lucker S, Wagner M. daime, a novel image analysis program for microbial ecology and biofilm research. *Environmental Microbiology*. 2006; 8(2):200–13. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2005.00880.x> WOS:000234647600003. PMID: 16423009
- [17] Lempitsky V, Rother C, Roth S, Blake A. Fusion Moves for Markov Random Field Optimization. *Ieee Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*. 2010; 32(8):1392–405. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.2009.143> WOS:000278858600004. PMID: 20558873
- [18] Klinger-Strobel M, Suesse H, Fischer D, Pletz MW, Makarewicz O. A Novel Computerized Cell Count Algorithm for Biofilm Analysis. *Plos One*. 2016; 11(5). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0154937> WOS:000375676800095. PMID: 27149069
- [19] Kayumov AR, Nureeva AA, Trizna EY, Gazizova GR, Bogachev MI, Shtyrlin NV, et al. New Derivatives of Pyridoxine Exhibit High Antibacterial Activity against Biofilm-Embedded *Staphylococcus* Cells. *Biomed Research International*. 2015; <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/890968> WOS:000367944100001.
- [20] Trizna EY, Khakimullina EN, Latypova LZ, Kurbangalieva AR, Sharafutdinov IS, Evtyugin VG, et al. Thio Derivatives of 2(5H)-Furanone As Inhibitors against *Bacillus subtilis* Biofilms. *Acta Naturae*. 2015; 7(2):102–7. WOS:000355757100013. PMID: 26085951
- [21] Trizna E, Latypova L, Kurbangalieva A, Bogachev MI, Kayumov A. 2(5H)-Furanone Derivatives as Inhibitors of *Staphylococcal* Biofilms. *Bionanoscience*. 2016; 6(4):423–6. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12668-016-0258-1> WOS:000390587700036.
- [22] Baidamshina DR, Trizna EY, Holyavka MG, Bogachev MI, Artyukhov VG, Akhatova FS, et al. Targeting microbial biofilms using Ficin, a nonspecific plant protease. *Scientific Reports*. 2017; 7. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep46068> WOS:000398641900002. PMID: 28387349

- [23] Sharafutdinov IS, Trizna EY, Baidamshina DR, Ryzhikova MN, Sibgatullina RR, Khabibrakhmanova AM, et al. Antimicrobial Effects of Sulfonyl Derivative of 2(5α-Furanone against Planktonic and Biofilm Associated Methicillin-Resistant and -Susceptible Staphylococcus aureus. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 2017; 8. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.02246> WOS:000415670800001. PMID: 29209288
- [24] Abramoff MD, Magalhães PJ, Ram SJ. Image processing with ImageJ. *2004*; 11(7):[36–42 pp.].
- [25] Selinummi J, Seppala J, Yli-Harja O, Puhakka JA. Software for quantification of labeled bacteria from digital microscope images by automated image analysis. *Biotechniques*. 2005; 39(6):859–63. <https://doi.org/10.2144/000112018> WOS:000234104900011. PMID: 16382904